

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
EASTERN DISTRICT OF MICHIGAN  
SOUTHERN DIVISION

CYNTHIA GRANDCHAMP,

Plaintiff,

Civil Action No. 09-cv-10282

v.

Paul D. Borman  
United States District Judge

COMMISSIONER OF  
SOCIAL SECURITY,

Mona K. Majzoub  
United States Magistrate Judge

Defendant.

\_\_\_\_\_ /

OPINION AND ORDER (1) ADOPTING THE MAGISTRATE JUDGE'S  
RECOMMENDATION (DKT. NO. 14);  
(2) DENYING DEFENDANT'S MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT (DKT. NO. 13);  
(3) GRANTING IN PART PLAINTIFF'S MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
(DKT. NO. 10);  
(4) REMANDING TO THE COMMISSIONER REGARDING THE ISSUE OF PLAINTIFF'S  
MENTAL IMPAIRMENTS

Before the Court is the Magistrate Judge's January 25, 2010 Report and Recommendation (Dkt. No. 14) in favor of denying Defendant's Motion for Summary Judgment (Dkt. No. 13), granting in part Plaintiff's Motion for Summary Judgment (Dkt. No. 10) and remanding the case pursuant to sentence four of 42 U.S.C. § 405(g) which provides that: "The court shall have power to enter, upon the pleadings and transcript of the record, a judgment affirming, modifying, or reversing the decision of the Commissioner of Social Security, with or without remanding the cause for a rehearing."

Having reviewed the Magistrate Judge's Report and Recommendation, and there being no objections, the Court enters as its findings and conclusions the Recommendation DENYING

Defendant's Motion for Summary Judgment, GRANTING IN PART Plaintiff's Motion for Summary Judgment<sup>1</sup> and REMANDING to the Commissioner pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 405(g). The Court does not adopt the multiple demands imposed on the ALJ by the Magistrate Judge on pages 13-17 of the Report and Recommendation. The Court does, nevertheless, intend that the ALJ explain its conclusion regarding the issue of mental impairment.

**SO ORDERED.**

s/Paul D. Borman  
PAUL D. BORMAN  
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

Dated: March 22, 2010

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

Copies of this Order were served on the attorneys of record by electronic means or U.S. Mail on March 22, 2010.

s/Denise Goodine  
Case Manager

---

<sup>1</sup> Since this matter is being remanded for further proceedings, the Court does not yet designate a prevailing party.